



TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION ————————————————————————————————————	з
PILLAR III DISCLOSURES	3
BOARD ATTESTATION FOR THE PILLAR III DISCLOSURES	3
DIS01 KEY METRICES	4
DIS02 RISK MANAGEMENT APPROACH	5
DIS03 OVERVIEW OF RISK WEIGHTED ASSETS (RWA)	6
DIS04 COMPOSITION OF CAPITAL	6
DIS05 ASSET QUALITY	7
DIS06 CHANGES IN DEFAULT	7
DIS07 DISCLOSURE ON ECDs	7



1. INTRODUCTION

KCB Bank Uganda Ltd (KCBU) was incorporated in 2007 in Uganda as a Tier 1 Commercial Bank. Risk Management is an integral process in the conduct of business within KCBU and is critical for decision making.

The Board has the mandate to determine the overall risk management practices of KCBU. The Board delegates its authority to management through establishment of policies, frameworks, guidelines and committees. Management exercises its delegated authority for risk management in the bank through establishment of committees, tools, procedures and guidelines that staff and other stakeholders observe in order to create a sustainable risk culture.

2. PILLAR III DISCLOSURES

The Pillar III Risk Management disclosures report provides an annual overview of KCBU's risk management structure which includes, regulatory capital profile and risk weighted assets as at the end of the period. This report has been prepared in conformance with the Bank of Uganda guidelines on Pillar III disclosures as stipulated in the Internal Capital Adequacy and Assessment Process and Pillar III Market Discipline requirements.

This report has been reviewed and approved by CMT and Board. The Bank was adequately capitalized as at 31 st December 2024. The information contained in this report is unaudited.

3. BOARD ATTESTATION FOR THE PILLAR III DISCLOSURES

In accordance with Bank of Uganda requirements, the Board Confirms that the Pillar III Disclosures report for the one year period ending 31 st December 2024 has been prepared in accordance with guidelines established by Bank of Uganda and agreed internal review processes.

Signed Signed

Edgar Byamah Constant Othieno Mayende

Managing Director Board Chairman



4. DIS01 KEY METRICES

		а	b	С	d	е
		Dec'24	Sep'24	Jun'24	Mar'24	Dec'23
	Available capital (amounts)					
1	Core capital	186,259,794	173,791,546	166,356,371	165,843,383	141,488,370
2	Supplementary capital	8,842,872	8,706,405	7,645,162	7,915,978	7,525,991
3	Total capital	195,102,666	182,497,951	174,402,969	173,759,361	149,014,361
	Risk-Weighted Assets (Amounts)					
4	Total risk-weighted assets (RWA)	1,156,264,286	1,117,503,713	1,129,012,659	1,147,859,453	937,338,126
	Risk-based capital ratios as a percentage of RWA					
5	Core capital ratio (%)	16.11%	15.55%	14.73%	14.45%	15.09%
6	Total capital ratio (%)	16.87%	16.33%	15.45%	15.14%	15.89%
	Capital buffer requirements as a percentage of RWA					
7	Capital conservation buffer requirement (2.5%)	2.50%	2.50%	2.50%	2.50%	2.50%
8	Countercyclical buffer requirement (%)	0	0	0	0	0
9	Systemic buffer (for DSIBs) (%)	0	0	0	0	0
10	Total of capital buffer requirements (%)	2.50%	% 2.50%	2.50%	2.50%	2.50%
10	(row 7 + row 8 + row 9)	2.50%	2.50%	2.50%	2.50%	2.50%
11	Core capital available after meeting the bank's minimum capital requirements (%)	3.61%	3.05%	2.23%	1.95%	2.59%
	Basel III leverage ratio					
13	Total Basel III leverage ratio exposure measure	1,925,869,402	1,942,686,121	1,908,429,867	1,814,994,543	1,539,575,139
14	Basel III leverage ratio (%) (row 1 / row 13)	9.67%	8.95%	8.72%	9.14%	9.19%
	Liquidity Coverage Ratio					
15	Total high-quality liquid assets (HQLA)	714,856,390	420,028,670	253,451,747	290,922,865	290,600,889
16	Total net cash outflow	387,686,344	294,305,813	193,796,765	212,234,155	216,005,853
17	LCR (%)	184%	143%	131%	137%	135%
	Net Stable Funding Ratio					
18	Total available stable funding	1,069,370,644	1,163,310,714	1,117,913,739	1,265,334,545	1,059,629,486
19	Total required stable funding	691,428,180	779,494,555	925,368,674	868,837,413	724,983,808
20	NSFR	154.7%	149.2%	120.8%	145.6%	146.2%



5. DIS02 RISK MANAGEMENT APPROACH

The strategies and processes to manage,

hedge and mitigate risks that arise from

processes for monitoring the continuing

effectiveness of hedges and mitigants.

the SEI's business model and the

Purpose: Description of KCBU's strategy and how senior management and the board of directors assess and manage risks, enabling users to gain a clear understanding of the KCBU's risk tolerance/appetite in relation to its main activities and all significant risks.

KCB Bank Uganda Limited (KCBU) has a comprehensive risk governance framework that a. The risk governance structure: ensures clear accountability, effective oversight, and robust risk management. At the Board responsibilities attributed throughout the level, the Board of Directors, through the various board committees i.e., Board Risk Committee, Board SFI (e.g. oversightand delegation of authority; breakdown of responsibilities Audit Committee, Board Credit Committee, Board Assets and Liabilities Committee oversee the Bank's risk appetite to ensure alignment with strategic objectives and emerging risks, evaluate internal controls by type of risk, business unit etc); relationships between the structures and audit processes, and that senior management and executive's operations align with the bank's risk appetite. Senior management, led by the Managing Director, translates the Board's risk strategy into actionable processes and procedures, monitors exposures, and ensures timely corrective action through involved in risk management processes (e.g.board of directors, executive the various management committees i.e., the Management Risk Committee, Management Credit management, separate risk committee, Committee and the Management Assets and Liabilities Committee. At the departmental and unit level, risk management structure, compliance designated Risk Champions within each business unit collaborate with the Risk Management Department function, internal audit function) to identify, assess, and escalate risks. Specialized units under the Risk Management Department focus on specific risk types, including Credit, Market, Operational, IT, Compliance, Liquidity, and Strategic risks among others, ensuring a targeted approach to risk mitigation. The bank employs a Three Lines of Defense model: the first line includes operational management and business units responsible for identifying and managing risks; the second line encompasses Risk Management, Compliance and other control functions, which monitor adherence to policies and risk appetite; and the third line comprises Internal Audit, providing independent assurance to the Board Audit Committee on the effectiveness of controls and governance. This integrated structure, with strong relationships between the Board, senior management, risk department and the respective business unit functions, ensures proactive risk management aligned with international standards and stakeholder expectations. KCBU's risk culture is underpinned by a comprehensive framework that includes robust policies i.e., Code b. Channels to communicate, decline and of Conduct, Ethics Policy, Risk Management Policies (Strategic Risk, IT Risk, Anti-Fraud etc.), Risk Appetite enforce the risk culture within the SFI (e.g. Framework, Operational Risk Assessment and Management Policy and Framework, IT Risk Management code of conduct: manuals containing operating limits or procedures to treat Framework, etc., all of which articulate the values, principles, and expectations regarding risk-taking and violations or breaches of risk thresholds; compliance. The bank employs a centralized risk management system that facilitates ongoing Risk and procedures to raise and share risk issues Control Self-Assessments (RCSAs), enabling business lines to identify, evaluate, communicate and between business lines and risk address risks proactively. Operating manuals define limits and procedures to manage and escalate functions). breaches, while mechanisms like whistleblowing channels and periodic reviews ensure that violations are addressed swiftly. Risk issues are shared and resolved through structured communication protocols between business lines and the risk function, supported by ongoing stakeholder engagement, which includes training, workshops, and regular reminders to reinforce awareness and accountability. Continuous monitoring, aided by the various reporting tools, ensures adherence to established thresholds and policies, promoting a consistent and proactive risk management culture across the bank. Description of the process of risk KCBU has a structured process for risk information reporting to ensure that the Board and senior c. information reporting provided to the management receive timely, accurate, and relevant insights into the institution's risk exposures. Risk board and senior management, in reports are submitted monthly to the Risk Management Department with detailed inputs provided by particular the scope and main content business units through the bank's centralized risk management system. These monthly reports of reporting on risk exposure. encompass granular information, including the identification and assessment of current risks, the status of previously reported risks, performance of implemented controls, and any breaches of thresholds. The system ensures comprehensive tracking, enabling real-time updates and detailed analyses of operational, credit, market, compliance, IT, and other risk categories. Key risks and any emerging risk items are then reported by the respective department Heads to the Management Risk Committee (MRC) and subsequently to Senior management to ensure adequate mitigations are defined and implemented for all identified risk items. Quarterly reports, submitted to the Board, provide a more aggregated and strategic overview, focusing on key risk metrics, trends, and exceptions, along with high-level summaries of the MRC's findings and recommendations. This distinction ensures that while senior management and the MRC have access to exhaustive operational details for immediate decision-making, the Board is equipped with strategic insights to guide policy, governance, and long-term risk management priorities. d. Qualitative information on stress testing The Bank has a Stress Testing Framework approved by Board which guides the evaluation of the potential effects on a bank's financial condition, of a set of specified changes in risk factors (e.g. portfolios subject to stress testing. scenarios adopted and methodologies corresponding to exceptional but plausible events. The material risks that the bank stress tests include used, and use of stress testing in risk Credit risk, Forex Risk, Liquidity Risk, Interest Rate Risk in the Banking Book, Operational Risk and related management). risks.The stress/risk factors included in the stress testing process shall include; Interest rates, NPL, Provisions, Sector performance, Foreign exchange rates and Macro-economic factors.

The bank maintains a diversified portfolio of products and services to ensure sustained service delivery

which is supported by reliable IT infrastructure coupled with a robust business continuity management

programme for business resilience. In addition, the bank's Management Asset and Liability Committee

determines appropriate action to ensure that the bank maintains an efficient balance sheet.



6. DIS03 OVERVIEW OF RISK WEIGHTED ASSETS (RWA)

DIS03: Overview of RWA

Purpose: Provide an overview of total RWA forming the denominator of the risk-based capital requirements.

Scope of application: The template is mandatory for all banks.

Content: Risk-weighted assets and capital requirements under Pillar 1. Pillar 2 requirements should not be included.

Frequency: Quarterly

Accompanying narrative: Banks are expected to identify and explain the drivers behind differences in reporting periods T and T-1 where these differences are significant.

When minimum capital requirements in column (c) do not correspond to 12% of RWA in column (a), banks must explain the adjustments made.

		a	b	е
		RWA		Minimum capital requirements
		Dec'24	Sep'24	Dec'24
1	Credit risk (excluding counterparty credit risk)	1,040,253,168	1,017,011,287	124,830,380
2	Counterparty credit risk (CCR)	19,854,771	11,138,800	2,382,572
3	Market risk	17,535,783	16,826,527	2,104,294
4	Operational risk	78,620,564	72,527,098	9,434,468
5	Total (1 + 2 + 3 + 4)	1,156,264,286	1,117,503,713	138,751,714

7. DIS04 COMPOSITION OF CAPITAL

DIS04 COMPOSITION OF CAPITAL

		a	b
	Common Equity Tier 1 capital: instruments and reserves	Dec'24	June'24
	Permanent shareholders equity (issued and fully paid-up common shares)	150,000,000	150,000,000
	Share premium		
	Retained earnings	55,966,528	50,430,422
	Net after tax profits current year-to date (50% only)	1,113,794	
	General reserves (permanent, unencumbered and able to absorb losses)		
	Tier 1 capital before regulatory adjustments	207,080,322	200,430,422
	Tier 1 capital: regulatory adjustments	207,080,322	200,430,422
	Goodwill and other intangible assets	6,878,779	6,604,348
	Current year's losses	-	9,905,107
	investments in unconsolidated financial subsidiaries		
	deficiencies in provisions for losses		
	Other deductions determined by the Central bank	1,621,217	244,064
	Other deductions determined by the Central bank - (Deferred tax asset)	12,320,532	17,320,532
	Total regulatory adjustments to Tier 1 capital	20,820,528	34,074,051
	Tier1 capital	186,259,794	166,356,371
	Tier 2 capital: Supplementary capital		
	Revaluation reserves on fixed assets		
	Unencumbered general provisions for losses (not to exceed 1.25% of RWA)	8,842,872	8,046,598
	Hybrid capital instruments		, ,
	Subordinated debt (not to exceed 50% of core capital subject to a discount factor)		
)	Tier 2 capital	8,842,872	8,046,598
	Total regulatory capital (= Tier 1 + Tier2)	195,102,666	174,402,969
	Total risk-weighted assets	1,156,264,286	1,129,012,659
	Tier 2 capital: Supplementary capital		<u> </u>
	Tier 1 capital (as a percentage of risk-weighted assets)	16.11%	14.73%
	Total capital (as a percentage of risk-weighted assets)	16.87%	15.45%
;	Total Institution-specific buffer requirement (capital conservation buffer plus countercyclical buffer requirements plus systemic buffer, expressed as a percentage of risk-weighted assets)	2.50%	2.50%
5	Of which: capital conservation buffer requirement	2.50%	2.50%
	Of which: countercyclical buffer requirement		
3	Of which: bank specific systemic buffer requirement		
	Tier 1 capital (as a percentage of risk-weighted assets) available after meeting the bank's minimum capital requirements	2.50%	2.50%
	Minimum statutory ratio requirements		
)	Tier 1 capital adequacy ratio	12.75%	12.75%
	Total capital adequacy ratio	14.75%	14.75%



8. DIS05 ASSET QUALITY

DIS05	ASSET QUALITY						
Purpos	se: Provide a comprehens	ive picture of	the credit quality o	of KCBU (on- and c	ff-balance sheet) asse	ts.	
		а	b	d	е	f	g
		Gross co	arrying values of	Provisions o	s per FIA2004	Interest in suspense	Net values (FIA)
		Defaulted exposures	Non-defaulted exposures	Specific	General		(a+b-d-e)
1	Loans and advances	55,786,056	868,465,288	33,799,125	8,842,872	6,164,979	884,287,240
2	Debt		447.150.705				447450705
	Securities		447,159,795				447,159,795
3	Off-balance sheet exposures		189,844,570				189,844,570
4	78,620,564	55,786,056	1,505,469,653	33,799,125	8,842,872	6,164,979	1,521,291,605

9. DIS06 CHANGES IN DEFAULT

DIS	05 ASSET QUALITY			
Purpose: Identify the changes in a KCBUs stock of defaulted exposures, the flows between non-defaulted and defaulted exposure categories and reductions in the stock of defaulted exposures due to write-offs.				
		a		
1	Defaulted loans & advances, debt securities and off balance sheet exposures at end of the previous reporting period	78,421,731		
2	Loans and debt securities that have defaulted since the last reporting period	26,170,770		
3	Returned to non-defaulted status	5,609,096		
4	Amounts written off	32,957,022		
5	Other changes	(10,240,326)		
_	Defaulted loans & advances, debt securities and off balance sheet exposures at end of the reporting period			
6	(1+2-3-4+5)	55,786,056		

10. DIS07 DISCLOSURE ON ECDs

DISC	DIS07 DISCLOSURE ON ECDs						
Purp	Purpose: Qualitative data on the use of external ratings under standardized approach for credit risk						
a	Names of the external credit assessment institutions (ECAIs) and export credit agencies (ECAs) used by the SFIs, and the reasons for any changes over the reporting period; KCBU uses Moody's and Fitch rating agencies to arrive at the Credit risk rating for the Uganda government securities and banking relationships for counter parties.						
b	The asset classes for which each ECAI or ECA is used; Uganda Government Securities.						

Signed

Signed

Signed

Signed

Edgar Byamah

Head of Risk

Board Chairman

